



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of)
)
TETSUJI SUZUKI and)
TSUTOU ASAKURA)
)
Serial No. 10/677,505)
)
Filed October 2, 2003)
)
For COLOR-SEPARATING AND)
-RECOMBINING OPTICAL)
SYSTEM AND PROJECTION)
DISPLAY USING THE SAME)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence was deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop DD
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on this 7th day of January, 2004

Norman J. Payerle
Norman J. Payerle, Secretary to Edward G. Greive

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

37 CFR §§ 1.97, 1.98

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.97 encouraging the filing of an Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicants hereby submit the following in compliance with the duty of disclosure as set forth in 37 CFR §1.56.


Information or art known to the Applicants and having an extent of relevance to the present application has been listed on Form PTO-1449 attached hereto. It includes five United States patents and one publication. The Applicants have employed Form PTO-1449 for the purposes of convenience of the Office and the Examiner.

No representation is made that a specific search has been made, that the information is pertinent to the claimed subject matter, that the information is non-cumulative, or that the information represents the only or the best information. The Applicants do not admit that any of the information they have provided is necessarily prior to their invention but rather that it is information of which they are aware and that they believe should be provided to

the Office in fulfillment of their duty of disclosure. Any question that may arise regarding priority of a specific reference shall be resolved during prosecution.

It is the position of the Applicants that none of the art provided herein accomplishes the objects of the present invention. The Applicants believe that the claims of the subject application are patentably distinct over the art of record. In the event the Examiner would care to discuss any of the foregoing more specifically, the undersigned attorney would welcome a telephone call.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward G. Greive, Reg. No. 24,726
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber
Fourth Floor, First National Tower
Akron, Ohio 44308-1456
Telephone: (330) 376-1242

Attorney for Applicants

January 7, 2004

Form PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
(Rev. 8-83) PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY. DOCKET NO.
KYO.P0011A

SERIAL NO.
10/02/03

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

APPLICANT
Suzuki et al.

FILING DATE
October 2, 2003

GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Ex.	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date (If Appropriate)
1	5,751,384	05/98	Sharp	349	18	
2	6,183,091	02/01	Johnson et al.	353	20	
3	6,309,071	10/01	Huang et al.	353	31	
4	6,419,362	07/02	Ikeda et al.	353	20	
5	US2002/0105619 A1	08/02	Lin	353	20	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	SID'00 Digest. Vol. 31, p. 92, "9.3 / High Contrast Color Splitting Architecture Using Color Polarization Filters by Robinson et al., pp. 1-4, Publication Date: April 2000

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.